Public Document Pack

Tony Kershaw

Director of Law and Assurance

If calling, please ask for

Adam Chisnall on 033022 28314

Email: adam.chisnall@westsussex.gov.uk



CLC Development Team Room 102 County Hall Chichester West Sussex PO19 1RO



www.westsussex.gov.uk

<u>@DemService</u>

https://www.facebook.com/northchichestertalkwithus

11 June 2018

A meeting of the North Chichester County Local Committee will be held at 7.00 pm on Tuesday, 19 June 2018 at Elsted Village Hall, Midhurst, GU29 0JY

Tony Kershaw

Director of Law and Assurance

Your local County Councillors



David Bradford Rother Valley



Janet Duncton Petworth



Kate O'Kelly Midhurst



Viral Parikh Bourne

Invite you to come along to the North Chichester County Local Committee

County Local Committees consider a range of issues concerning the local area, and where relevant make decisions. It is a meeting in public and has a regular 'talk with us' item where the public can ask questions of their local elected representatives.

Agenda

7.00 pm 1. **Welcome and introductions**

The members of North Chichester County Local Committee are David Bradford, Janet Duncton, Kate O'Kelly, and Viral Parikh.

7.00 pm 2. **Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman**

The Committee is asked to elect a Chairman and Vice Chairman for the North Chichester County Local Committee for the 2018/19 municipal year.

7.05 pm 3. **Declarations of Interest**

Members and officers must declare any pecuniary or personal interest in any business on the agenda. They should also make declarations at any stage such an interest becomes apparent during the meeting. Consideration should be given to leaving

the meeting if the nature of the interest warrants it. If in doubt, contact Democratic Services before the meeting.

7.05 pm 4. **Minutes** (Pages 5 - 8)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 6 March 2018 (cream paper).

7.10 pm 5. **Urgent Matters**

Items not on the agenda that the Chairman of the meeting is of the opinion should be considered as a matter of urgency because of special circumstances.

7.15 pm 6. **Progress Statement** (Pages 9 - 12)

The document contains brief updates on statements of progress made on issues raised at previous meetings.

The Committee is asked to note the report.

7.20 pm 7. **Velo South** (Pages 13 - 14)

Attendance from CSM Active to talk about the Velo South event which is happening on 23 September 2018.

A map of the route is included.

7.50 pm 8. **Community Initiative Funding** (Pages 15 - 22)

Report by the Director of Law and Assurance.

The report summarises the Community Initiative Funding applications received via The West Sussex Crowd. The Committee is invited to consider the applications and pledge funding if appropriate.

8.15 pm 9. Nominations for Local Authority Governors to Maintained Schools and Academy Governing Bodies (NC01(18/19)) (Pages 23 - 30)

Report by Director of Education and Skills.

The Committee are asked to approve the nominations of Authority School Governors as set out in the report.

8.20 pm 10. **Talk With Us**

To invite questions from the public present at the meeting on subjects other than those on the agenda. The Committee would encourage members of the public with more complex issues to submit their question before the meeting to allow a substantive answer to be given.

8.40 pm 11. Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee will take place at 7.00 pm on Tuesday 6 November 2018 at a venue to be confirmed.

Members wishing to place an item on the agenda should notify Adam Chisnall via email: adam.chisnall@westsussex.gov.uk or phone on 033022 28314.

To: All members of the North Chichester County Local Committee

Filming and use of social media

During this meeting the public are allowed to film the Committee or use social media, providing it does not disrupt the meeting. You are encouraged to let officers know in advance if you wish to film. Mobile devices should be switched to silent for the duration of the meeting.



North Chichester County Local Committee

6 March 2018 – At a meeting of the Committee held at 7.00pm at Wisborough Green Village Hall, School Road, Wisborough Green, West Sussex, RH14 0DU.

Present: David Bradford (Member for Rother Valley), Janet Duncton (Member for Petworth), Kate O'Kelly (Member for Midhurst) and Viral Parikh* (Member for Bourne).

*Viral Parikh arrived at 7.06pm

In attendance: Adam Chisnall (Democratic Services Officer), Chris Dye (Area Highways Manager and Rachel North (Director of Communities).

In attendance by invitation: Sue Carter (from Coastal West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group) and Dr Emma Woodcock (a Provider Lead for General Practice in Coastal West Sussex).

Welcome and Introductions

- 54. The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting. Members and Officers introduced themselves.
- 55. The Chairman also thanked those who had attended the pre event before the Committee meeting on the new arrangements for community funding.

Declaration of Interests

56. None declared.

Minutes

57. Resolved – that the minutes of the meeting held on 14 November 2017 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

Progress Statement

- 58. The Committee considered the progress report on matters arising from previous meetings (copy appended to the signed minutes).
- 59. Mr Chisnall introduced the report which gave updates on issues raised at the 14 November meeting.
- 60. Resolved That the Committee notes the progress statement.

Local Community Networks

- 61. The Committee considered a briefing note on Local Community Networks (LCN) (copy appended to the signed minutes).
- 62. The Chairman welcomed Sue Carter and Dr Emma Woodcock who gave a presentation to the Committee (copy appended to the signed minutes).

- 63. Sue Carter and Dr Emma Woodcock spoke through the presentation which outlined the plans for the development of Local Community Networks. A new website had been launched and new roles were being advertised for social prescribing posts which would help patients be signposted to support. Funding for the roles had been secured for 2 years.
- 64. Viral Parikh thought that pharmacies should be utilised more. Sue Carter agreed and noted the work that pharmacies were already doing. When the LCN plans were more developed it was hoped to utilise pharmacies further.
- 65. The Chairman invited the public to ask any questions they had:
 - A Parish Councillor asked how they could get involved; and a member of Rother Valley Together felt that they should be involved. – Sue Carter explained that when the service was up and running it would be important to map all areas to make a directory of what was available and identify gaps. Groups were encouraged to contact Emma Woodcock about attending a Social Prescribing and Rural Isolation in Rural North Chichester workshop on 22 March in Petworth.
 - A member of the public asked about timeframes. Emma Woodcock explained that the social prescribing roles were hoping to be filled in July which would be the next step.
- 66. Kate O'Kelly commented that local members had a key role to play with their knowledge of divisions to help the LCNs.
- 67. The Chairman thanked Sue Carter and Dr Emma Woodcock for their attendance and asked if they could attend a future meeting to give an update on progress.

Community Initiative Funding

- 68. The Committee considered a report by the Director of Law and Assurance (copy appended to the signed minutes).
- 69. Resolved That the North Chichester County Local Committee considers the Community Initiative Funding applications as set out in Appendix A and allocates the funding as follows:

That the following grants are awarded:

- 134/NC Sussex Clubs for Young People, £250.00 towards setting up the Duke of Cornwall Award
- 156/NC Easebourne Parish Wheelbarrow Castle Community Space and Playground Group, £630.00 towards Easebourne Parish Community Space and Playground Group.
- 162/NC Midhurst Tourism Partnership, £250.00 towards Discover Midhurst.
- 168/NC Heyshott Playground Committee, £630.00 towards rubber matting.

• 169/NC - Midhurst Youth Trust, £630.00 towards provision of a dinning shelter.

Nominations for Local Authority Governors to Maintained Schools and Academy Governing Bodies

- 70. The Committee considered a report by the Director of Education and Skills (copy appended to the signed minutes).
- 71. Resolved that the Committee approves the following nominations under the 2012 Regulations:

Nomination for reappointment:

 Mrs Marie Bracey to the Lavington Park Federation for a four year term

Nomination for appointment:

 Mr James William Hartley to the Hollycombe Primary School for a four year term

Talk With Us (Open Forum)

- 72. The Chairman introduced the item and advised that the open forum was an opportunity for comments and questions to be raised on items not already on the agenda, and over which the County Council has jurisdiction. The following issues were raised and responses made.
 - A resident raised a query on the lack of progress with the Highways Depot in Midhurst and requested that the Committee urge the South Downs National Park to consider alternative options for the site. – Adam Chisnall resolved to investigate this with the legal team.
 - A resident raised a query on the carriageway damage on Rumbolds Hill and the temporary fixing. Chris Dye explained that resurfacing was not sufficient for the road and that construction work was required which was complex and therefore harder to schedule. It was hoped to be completed early in the new financial year. Temporary fixes would continue in the short term to ensure safety compliance.
 - A resident raised a query on the lack of support from the County Council for General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) to Parish Councils and schools. -The Committee discussed training opportunities, such as SALC and Petworth Community Association. A request was made to investigate if the education department was providing support to schools. Rachel North resolved to look into the support the County Council could offer.
 - A resident raised a query on the delay for bus subsidies in Midhurst. Kate O'Kelly explained that short term support would cover 1 year. It was explained that Kate O'Kelly and David Bradford were on the Passenger Transport Task and Finish Group that was looking into this.

• A resident raised a query on whether there was County Council sign off after utility providers had finished works on the highway. – Chris Dye explained that there was a process in place to sign off works and that utilities had to cover their works for 2 years at their own expense. The public were encouraged to utilise Love West Sussex to report any issues.

Date of Next Meeting

- 73. The Committee noted that its next scheduled meeting would take place on 19 June 2018 at a venue to be confirmed.
- 74. The meeting closed at 8.05pm

Chairman

North Chichester County Local Committee

19 June 2018

Progress Statement

Date & Minute No.	Subject:	Action / Progress	Officer Contact:			
6 March 2018 Minute 71 1st Bullet	Talk With Us	Query on the progress with the Highways Depot in Midhurst.	Elaine Sanders			
	The Valuations and Estates Team have confirmed that the County Council continues to appraise options for the Highways Depot site in light of the draft South Downs National Park (SDNP) Local Plan which includes this site and the adjoining Cowdray owned site.					
	The SDNP have produced a draft Development Brief for the two sites which has been subject to consultation with communities and landowners. Consultation opened on 26 April 2018 on the SDNP website and is now closed. WSCC responded to the consultation in the context of being Land Owners and separately as WSCC Services including Waste. As Land Owners the County Council did not support the Development Brief for a number of reasons.					
	As the Waste Authority the County Council's position in regard to the Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC) site was essentially supportive i.e. that the County Council wish to retain a site within Midhurst. Having reviewed options the County Council is unaware of any other sites, outside of the proposed development brief site, that would be suitable for this purpose. It is therefore assumed that if not retained in the existing location another part of the development site would need to be allocated for this.					
	The Highways Depot site (excluding the HWRC) was declared surplus to County Council operational uses in January 2018 in a Key Decision by the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources. This was subject to finding suitable alternative locations for any residual highway service requirements in the Midhurst locality. Current leases on the site have been or are being renewed pending a decision on the longer term future of the site.					

Date & Minute No.	Subject:	Action / Progress	Officer Contact:		
6 March 2018 Minute 71 3rd Bullet	Talk With Us	General Data Protection Regulation	Rachel North		
	Officers have been in contact with Sussex & Surrey Associations of Local Councils (SSALC) to work on training for GDPR. SSALC have provided various events and tool kits for their members that can be accessed on http://www.sussexalc.org.uk. SSALC have been working with a number of companies who can offer various services and are signposting Town & Parish councils in respect to GDPR. Neighbouring councils and other organisations/charity groups could consider organising combined training to help with training costs.				
	An e-learning module will be available on the County Council's Learning and Development portal which will be accessible by Schools and also Town and Parish Councils (date to be confirmed). Though not bespoke to Town & Parish Councils it will provide guidance on the following areas: Overview, Principles, Rights & Responsibilities.				
	A useful document that provides guidance on preparing for the GDPR can be found here - https://ico.org.uk/media/1624219/preparing-for-the-gdpr-12-steps.pdf				
	All councils that are members of SSALC should make contact with them to discuss training opportunities.				
June Update		Community Highway Schemes and Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs)	Chris Dye		
	Attached at appendix A is highway scheme progress update. There are currently no Community Highway Scheme applications or Community Traffic Regulation Orders applications.				


2018 Improvement Scheme Updates (In Current Delivery Programme)

			•			
Confirm job #	PM	Scher	me Name	Description	CLC	May 2018 Update
6010308	B Peter Br	radley Durba	ans Road/Kirdford Road Enhancement	Junction imrprovements, footway works, extension of village green layby. Some plans already drawn up by a consultant, idea is not to provide a raised table at the junction but to reduce curved radii and provide improved conspicuity, along with other highway works	Chichester	Prelim design work underway, aiming for detailed design completion in 18/19 and implementation in 19/20.

This page is intentionally left blank



VELO SOUTH 2018 – ROUTE SECTOR TIMINGS



This page is intentionally left blank

North Chichester County Local Committee

Community Initiative Funding

19 June 2018

Report by Director of Law and Assurance

Kev	Decision:
No	
Part	I
Elect	toral Divisions:
All ir	n North
Chic	hester CLC Area

Recommendation

i) That the Committee considers the pitches made to the Community Initiative Funding as set out in Appendix A and pledge funding accordingly.

Proposal

1. Background and Context

The Community Initiative Fund (CIF) is a County Local Committee (CLC) administered fund that provides assistance to local community projects. Bids should show evidence of supporting one or more of The Five Ways to Wellbeing - a set of evidence-based actions which promote people's wellbeing. They are: Connect, Be Active, Take Notice, Keep Learning and Give.

The terms and conditions, eligibility criteria and overall aim of the CIF have been agreed by all CLC Chairmen and they, along with details of the Five Ways of Wellbeing, can be found on the County Local Committee pages of the West Sussex County Council website using the following link

http://www.westsussex.gov.uk/your_council/meetings_and_decision-making/county_local_committees/community_initiative_funding.aspx

For projects to be considered for funding they must upload their project idea to the West Sussex Crowd (www.westsussexcrowd.org.uk) funding platform and pitch to the Community Initiative fund.

2. Proposal

That the Committee considers the pitches to the Community Initiative Funding as set out in Appendix A.

Pledges will be considered in the preparation and fundraising stage. When considering pitches in the preparation stage, decisions are subject to the applicant receiving full verification from locality and starting fundraising by the end of the financial year.

3. Resources

For the 2018/19 financial year, North Chichester CLC has a total of $\pounds 15,792.84$ for allocation. Details of awards made in the last year are included in Appendix B.

There are currently no new pitches for consideration by the Committee. Pitches, when submitted, are outlined in Appendix A and can also be viewed at: www.westsussexcrowd.org.uk

CIF is intended for applications up to £5,000.

Factors taken into account

4. Consultation

Before a project can be added to the West Sussex Crowd it must be eligible for the <u>Spacehive</u> platform, and then before beginning crowd funding must be verified by <u>Locality</u>. This involves inspecting the project to make sure it's viable and legitimate. The Democratic Services Officer, in consultation with the local County Councillor, will preview all projects that have then gone on to pitch to the Community Initiative Fund to ensure they meet the criteria.

District and Borough Council colleagues are consulted on whether applicants have applied to any funds they administer. In addition, some CLCs have CIF Sub Groups that preview pitches and make recommendations to the CLC.

5. Risk Management Implications

There is a risk in allocating any funding that the applicant will not spend some or all of it or that it might be spent inappropriately. Therefore the terms and conditions associated with CIF provide for the County Council to request the return of funds.

6. Other Options Considered

The pitching process asks for information about whether a project could proceed if the organisation only received 90 per cent of the funding applied for. The CLC is invited to take this into consideration in deciding the level of any award.

The Committee do have the option to defer or decline pitches but must give valid reasons for doing so.

7. Equality Duty

Democratic Services Officers consider the outcome intentions for each pitch. It is considered that for the following pitches, the intended outcomes would:

- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it; and
- foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic

and people who do not share it.

The CLC in considering any pitch should be alert to the need to consider any equality implications arising from the bid or the way the money is to be used if any are indicated in the information provided.

8. Social Value

The criteria for the Community Initiative Funding asks applicants to evidence support for one or more of the Five Ways to Wellbeing - a set of evidence-based actions which promote people's wellbeing.

9. Crime and Disorder Act Implications

The applications for decision contain projects that will positively benefit the community and contribute toward the County Council's obligations to reduce crime and disorder and promote public safety in section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

10. Human Rights Act Implications

The County Council's positive obligations under the Human Rights Act have been considered in the preparation of these recommendations but none of significance emerges.

Tony Kershaw

Director of Law and Assurance

Contact: Adam Chisnall - 0330 222 8314

Background Papers:

Pitches are available to view at www.westsussexcrowd.org.uk



Current Pitches

The following projects have pitched to the community initiative fund since the last meeting:

Actively Fundraising

There are currently no active pitches

In Preparation

There are currently no pitches in preparation stage



Community Initiative Funding: Summary for 2017 - 2018

The following applications have received funding in the 2017/2018 financial year.

Applicant	Summary	Member	Awarded	Evaluation
15/NC Lodsworth Village Hall	towards the replacement of Tables, crockery and chairs	Previous Member	£1875.00	No Evaluation received.
26/NC Petworth and District Community Association	The Fete in the Park purchase of new marquee	Janet Duncton	£2,000.00	No Evaluation received.
28/NC Sutton Village Hall	towards the restoration of the hall floor	Previous Member	£1875.00	No Evaluation received.
57/NC Petworth Town Youth Band	Equipment and instruments	Janet Duncton	£1200.00	No Evaluation received.
64/NC Petworth Youth Association	to support the band Petworth Youth Association restructuring	Janet Duncton	£1250.00	No Evaluation received.
73/NC Redford Village Hall	Towards external hall refurbishment	Kate O'Kelly	£1250.00	No Evaluation received.
78/NC Midhurst & Easebourne Football Club	Towards a Club Tractor	David Bradford	£1250.00	No Evaluation received.
81/NC INTERIM Counselling	Towards start-up office supplies	Kate O'Kelly	£510.00	No Evaluation received.
134/NC Sussex Clubs for Young People	Towards setting up the Duke of Cornwall award	Janet Duncton	£250.00	No Evaluation received.
156/NC Easebourne Parish Wheelbarrow Castle Community Space and Playground Group	Easebourne Parish Community Space and Playground Group	David Bradford	£630.00	No Evaluation received.
162/NC Midhurst Tourism Partnership	Discover Midhurst	Kate O'Kelly	£250.00	No Evaluation received.
168/NC Heyshott Playground Committee	Towards rubber matting	David Bradford	£630.00	No Evaluation received.
169/NC Midhurst Youth Trust	Provision of a dining shelter	Kate O'Kelly	£630.00	No Evaluation received.



Ref: NC01 (18/19)

North Chichester County Local Committee

19 June 2018

Nominations for Local Authority Governors to Maintained Schools and Academy Governing Bodies

Key Decision: No Part I

Report by Director of Education and Skills

Electoral Divisions: All in CLC Area

Executive Summary

The County Local Committee (CLC) duty regarding school governance is to stimulate interest and commitment to the governance of maintained schools and academies in the area and to identify and nominate suitable persons to serve as school governors on behalf of the County Council.

This report asks the Committee to make nominations of Local Authority Governors as outlined below.

Recommendation

That the nominations for appointments of Local Authority Governors set out in Appendix A, be approved.

Proposal

1. Background and Context

- 1.1 The function of the nomination of school governors to maintained schools and academies is delegated to County Local Committees (CLCs) because it enables local county councillors to maintain a valuable link with the schools and helps promote to the wider public the important role of school governors.
- 1.2 Local authority governors are nominated by the local authority but appointed by the governing body. The CLC can nominate any eligible person as a local authority governor, but it is for the governing body to decide whether their nominee has the skills to contribute to the effective governance and success of the school and meets any other eligibility criteria they have set. The duty of the CLC is therefore to identify and nominate suitable persons to serve as school governors for maintained schools and academies on behalf of the County Council. The CLC, as representatives of the local authority, should make every effort to understand the governing body's requirements and identify and nominate suitable candidates. Without a CLC nomination a school is not able to appoint a Local Authority governor.
- 1.3 CLCs' delegated powers include the ability to appoint Authority, Community and Parent Governors to temporary governing bodies. Further changes are expected in due course in relation to temporary governing bodies.

1.4 CLCs also have the function to make nominations for the County Council to governing bodies of academies in accordance with either the funding agreement with the relevant government department or instrument of governance, as appropriate.

2. Nominations for Local Authority Governors

- 2.1 All county councillors are entitled to nominate for any school, although normal practice has dictated that the local county councillor's nomination can take precedence. County councillors should aim to familiarise themselves with the schools in their local area and are advised to consult the chairman of governors and/or head teacher concerning any local authority governing body vacancies.
- 2.2 The role of a governor can be complex as specific actions or ways of operating will vary depending on the type of school, its individual ethos and current circumstances. Governors provide the strategic leadership for schools alongside the head teacher. They should look to provide support and challenge for the school. Experience gained through a range of activities e.g. work, voluntary service or family life, where relevant, should be given equal consideration.
- 2.3 The 2012 Regulations (as amended) require that any newly-appointed governor has, in the opinion of the person making the appointment, 'the skills required to contribute to the effective governance and success of the school'. This could include specific skills such as an ability to understand data or finances as well as general capabilities such as the capacity and willingness to learn.
- 2.4 The following criteria are in place for the nominations of local authority governors:
 - governors are nominated on the basis of suitability and not in accordance with political party affiliations,
 - ii) applicants will not normally be nominated as local authority governors at a school if they are the husband, wife or partner of a permanent member of staff at that school,
 - iii) where the local authority appoints additional members to the governing body of a school identified by Ofsted as having serious weaknesses or requiring special measures, such governors will be appointed by the relevant Cabinet Member on the nomination of the relevant Director since it is usually advantageous to bring in experienced governors from other areas
 - iv) where the local authority appoints additional members to the governing body of a school identified by Ofsted as having serious weaknesses or requiring special measures, such governors will be appointed by the relevant Cabinet Member on the nomination of the relevant Director since it is usually advantageous to bring in experienced governors from other areas
 - v) if a county councillor is appointed as a local authority governor, and either does not stand for re-election or does not retain the seat during

the quadrennial County Council elections, his/her term of office will automatically end on 31 August next following the elections. A county councillor, who resigns his /her seat on the Council, will within 4 months of his/her resignation cease to be a local authority governor. In either case, he/she is, of course, eligible for re-appointment if nominated by a county councillor.

2.5 If there are more applications than vacancies this will be made clear in Appendix A. Any discussion of the relevant merits of the candidates will be discussed in Part II of an agenda, in the absence of the press and public. This should then not discourage any potential candidates from applying, knowing that any discussion of their application will occur in private session.

3. **Reappointments**

3.1 Details of local authority governors seeking nomination for reappointment are forwarded to the governing body chairman and to the local county councillor. These nominations automatically progress to the next CLC meeting for decision unless an objection is received from a member by the given closing date. The governing body would be asked for comments on the nomination, and an objection may be lodged on the grounds of poor attendance.

4. Current Vacancies

- 4.1 The current vacancies in the CLC area are detailed in Appendix B.
- 4.2 Information about the role of school governors is available on the County Council website via this link:

https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/education-children-and-families/schools-and-colleges/information-for-governors/

5. **Proposal**

That the Committee makes the nomination (s) of Governors as set out in the recommendation above and Appendix A.

6. **Resources**

There are no resource implications arising from this decision as it is a nomination to a governing body.

Factors taken into account

7. **Consultation**

Local county councillors, head teachers and chairmen of governors have been consulted on all applications received. It is assumed that all are in support unless objections are received by Governor Services and/or the local county councillor.

8. Risk Management Implications

There may be a risk that on-going vacancies on a school governing body above a level of 25% will weaken its effectiveness.

9. Other Options Considered

County councillors can decide not to make a nomination to a governing body. They may defer an application if they require further information or consultation to enable them to come to a decision. In such a case the Governing Body cannot make an appointment.

10. **Equality Duty**.

The Equality Duty does not need to be addressed as it is a decision making an appointment or nomination to a governing body.

11. Social Value

None

12. Crime and Disorder Act Implications

None

13. Human Rights Implications

None

Deborah Myers

Director of Education and Skills

Contact: Governor Services Administrator

0330 222 8887

Appendices

Appendix A - Local Authority Governors - Appointments, Reappointments or

Nominations

Appendix B - Current Vacancy List

Background Papers

None

Local Authority Governors - Nominations Under the 2012 Regulations

Maintained Schools

Nominations for Appointments:

Rogate C.E. Primary School

Mr Chris Hawker for a four year term

Midhurst Primary School

Mrs Laura Jandac for a four year term



Authority Governor Vacancies for North Chichester County Local Committee Area

School	Division	Division Member	Vacant From	Current Status	Chairman	Head
Rogate Primary School	Midhurst	Kate O'Kelly	Jun-17	Nomination forwarded to next CLC	Verona Hall	Amy O'Toole
Midhurst C.E. Primary School	Midhurst	Kate O'Kelly	Jan-17	Nomination forwarded to next CLC	Edward Roberts	Sally Clarke
Bury C.E. Primary School	Rother Valley	David Bradford	Jul-18	Resigning at end of term	Stephanie Fane	Thomas Moore
Camelsdale Primary School	Rother Valley	David Bradford	Apr-18	Outstanding	No current Chair	Sarah Palmer

This page is intentionally left blank